

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

2 MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 J. MARK KANG (NYBN 4033999)  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
6 San Francisco, California 94102  
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7050  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
8 E-Mail: Mark.Kang@usdoj.gov

9 Attorneys for the United States of America

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
13

14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
15 Plaintiff, )  
16 v. )  
17 ARTURO BARRAGAN GONZALEZ, )  
a/k/a Arturo Barragan, )  
18 Defendant. )  
19

No. CR12-0627 WHA

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18  
U.S.C. § 3161**

20 On September 18, 2012, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time,  
21 the Court set the matter to October 9, 2012. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of  
22 time between September 18, 2012 and October 9, 2012 from any time limits applicable under 18  
23 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable  
24 time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The  
25 parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh  
26 the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

27 ///

28 ///

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
CR12-0627 WHA

1 At the hearing, the court made findings consistent with this agreement. SO STIPULATED:

2  
3  
4 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

5  
6 DATED: September 18, 2012

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
\_\_\_\_\_/s/  
J. MARK KANG  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: September 18, 2012

\_\_\_\_\_/s/  
JODI LINKER  
Attorney for ARTURO BARRAGAN GONZALEZ

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the September 18, 2012 hearing, the court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from September 18, 2012 and October 9, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 19, 2012.

